

Originator: M Taylor
Tel: $\quad$ x74234

## Report of the DIRECTOR OF RESOURCES

## Executive Board

Date: $16^{\text {th }}$ July 2008
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| Electoral Wards Affected: | Specific Implications For: <br> Equality and Diversity <br> Community Cohesion <br> Narrowing the Gap |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. This is the Treasury Management annual report for 2007/08, as required under the Prudential Code which was introduced in April 2004. Prior to this, local authority borrowing was restricted by Government legislation. This restriction together with the requirement to make revenue provision for debt repayment in the Housing Revenue Account was lifted by the introduction of the Prudential Code. This created a mechanism to stimulate capital investment, encouraging local authorities to borrow whilst interest rates were at all time lows.
2. The Council's level of external debt varies daily depending on cash flow and the level of creditors and debtors. This report shows that net external debt at $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2008 was $£ 1,222 \mathrm{~m}, £ 90 \mathrm{~m}$ below the report to Executive Board in February. This movement is not due to a change in the capital programme requirement but short-term temporary fluctuations in year-end balances. The level of debt should be viewed in the context of the Council's assets which were valued at $£ 4$ bn as at $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2007.
3. The level of debt has remained within the Authorised limit for external debt as re-affirmed by the Council in February 2008.
4. Monitoring of market conditions has generated savings of $£ 13.5 \mathrm{~m}$ of which $£ 2 \mathrm{~m}$ were assumed in the budget. These savings have been generated through restructuring of long term debt and taking advantage of elevated investment returns.
5. The average rate of interest paid on the Council's external debt for 2007/08 has fallen to $4.51 \%$ compared to $4.78 \%$ for 2006/07.

## 1 Purpose of This Report

1.1 This report provides members with a review of Treasury Management Strategy and operations in 2007/08.

## 2 Background Information

2.1 The operation of the Treasury Management function is governed by provisions set out under part 1 of the Local Government Act 2003 whereby the Council is required to have regard to the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA) Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities.
2.2 In accordance with the prudential code, the Council has also formally adopted the CIPFA Code of Practice on Treasury Management which requires that policy statements are prepared for approval by the Council at least twice a year. The Policy and Strategy statement for 2007/08 was approved by the Executive Board on $9^{\text {th }}$ February 2007 and by full Council on $21^{\text {st }}$ February 2007 and a 6 monthly update on progress was considered by the Executive Board on $14^{\text {th }}$ November 2007. 2007/08 progress was again noted as part of the Treasury Management Strategy Report 2008/09 at the Executive Board meeting on the $8^{\text {th }}$ February 2008.

## 3 Main Issues

### 3.1 Review of Strategy 2007/08

3.1.1 Table 1 below, shows that net borrowing in 2007/08 was $£ 1,222 \mathrm{~m}$, £90m below expectations in February 2008. This movement is not due to changes in the capital programme but short-term temporary fluctuations in year-end balances.

## Table 1



Note: The Capital Financing Requirement (CFR) is the maximum level of debt (i.e. borrowing and finance leasing) that the Council can hold for its current year capital purposes. The Council is also allowed to borrow in advance for up to two future years capital programmes.
3.1.2 Temporary year-end fluctuations comprise $£ 53 \mathrm{~m}$ on year-end debtors and creditors and $£ 40 \mathrm{~m}$ on the level of revenue balances. $£ 25 \mathrm{~m}$ of revenue balances relates to Major Repairs Renewal reserves on the Housing Revenue Account accumulated due to slippage in the HRA capital programme. The overall movement on revenue balances is a result of year-end short-term movements and is not expected to affect the long term borrowing requirement. These short term balances have been invested in the money markets and have achieved elevated levels of returns.
3.1.3 Prudential Indicator 10 and 11 in Appendix A shows the prudential limits set by the Council in February 2007 remained unchanged throughout the year.
3.1.4 Graph 1, below shows the level of debt during 2007/08 and its comparison with the prudential limits on debt set by the Council in February 2007. The authorised limit is the maximum permitted amount of borrowing the Council can have outstanding at any given time and has not been breached during 2007/08. The operational boundary is a key management tool and can be breached temporarily depending on cash flow. This limit acts as a warning mechanism to prevent the authorised limit from being breached. The operational boundary was breached on $30^{\text {th }}$ May 2007 for one day as the Council borrowed $£ 360$ m as part of a rescheduling exercise.

Graph 1

3.1.5 As reported in the Treasury Management Strategy 2008/09 on $8^{\text {th }}$ February 2008, The Bank of England raised the bank rate upwards in May and July 2007 to a peak of $5.75 \%$ in response to the growing threat of inflation. On $9^{\text {th }}$ August 2007 the problems connected to the US sub-prime housing market began to impact on the global markets. The bank BNP Paribas in Paris closed down two funds heavily involved in lending to this sector and both the Federal Reserve in the US and the European Central Bank injected very substantial amounts of liquidity into the wholesale markets. Concerns gripped the markets as lenders were unsure whether the counterparties to which they were lending would default on their loans. This concern caused the price of money that banks lend to each other to
increase substantially above the Bank of England bank rate. The impact of the credit crunch began to spread to the wider economy with signs that there were greater risks to growth and as a result the Bank rate was reduced in December and February to $5.25 \%$. The rate has subsequently fallen to $5.00 \%$ in April 2008.
3.1.6 The Public Loans Works Board (PWLB) 45-50 year rate started the year at 4.45\% and fell to a low of $4.38 \%$ in March 2008. The high point, of which there were several, for $45-50$ year was $4.90 \%$ before finishing the year at $4.42 \%$. The volatility in yields was a direct reflection of the turnaround in interest rate sentiment brought about by the sub-prime crisis in the US. These movements are illustrated in the following graph.

## Graph 2


3.1.7 Monitoring of long term interest rates has presented opportunities to restructure $£ 670.19 \mathrm{~m}$ of loans as shown in Table 2 to generate current and future year revenue savings. This included $£ 575.19 \mathrm{~m}$ of PWLB loans and a further $£ 95 \mathrm{~m}$ of market loans of which $£ 35 \mathrm{~m}$ were rescheduled prior to the start of 2007/08. These market loans are termed Lenders Option Borrowers Option (LOBO) and contain clauses which allow the lender, at pre-determined dates, to vary the interest rate on the loan. If one of these options is exercised and the new rate is not accepted, the borrower then has the option to repay the loan. The restructuring of market loans was in response to lenders exercising their option to terminate loans. These loans were then re-established with similar maturity profiles.
3.1.8 New replacement borrowing totaled $£ 644.23$ m, which was $£ 25.96$ m less than repaid. £25m was part of a rescheduling exercise that fell across two financial years and was replaced in early April 2008.
3.1.9 Monitoring of market conditions has generated savings of $£ 13.5 \mathrm{~m}$ of which $£ 2 \mathrm{~m}$ were assumed in the budget. These saving were reported in the Revenue Outturn report to Executive Board on $11^{\text {th }}$ June 2008. The savings have been generated through restructuring of long term debt and taking advantage of elevated investment returns as a result of the credit crunch. Savings as a result of rescheduling are reported in accordance with the new accounting changes governing premiums and discounts on the rescheduling of loans.
3.1.10 Rescheduling opportunities presented themselves until $1^{\text {st }}$ November 2007 when the PWLB radically changed their terms of operation. Up to that point there had been various opportunities to improve the terms of the debt holdings by restructuring into other periods, whilst being mindful of the requirements of the new accounting regulations.
3.1.11 On $1^{\text {st }}$ November the PWLB imposed two rates for each period, one for new borrowing and a new, significantly lower rate for early repayment of debt. The differential between the two rates ranged from 26bp (basis points) in the shorter dated maturities to over 45 bp in the longer ones. They also introduced daily movements of 1 bp instead of 5 bp and rates in half year periods throughout the maturity range (previously had been mainly in 5 year bands). These changes effectively prevented the Council from restructuring the portfolio into new PWLB borrowing, in the current rate environment.

Table 2

| Rescheduling 2007/08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Premature Repayments |  |  |  |  | Replacement Borrowing |  |  |  |
| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Amount } \\ & (£ m) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Original } \\ \text { Rate } \\ \text { (\%) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Discount } \\ & \text { Rate } \\ & \text { (\%) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Premium/ (Discount) (£m) | Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Amount } \\ & (£ m) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Term } \\ & \text { (Years) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Interest } \\ \text { Rate } \\ \text { (\%) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| PWLB |  |  |  |  | PWLB |  |  |  |
| 02/05/07 | 24 | 4.7 | 4.8 | (0.360) | 04/05/07 | 50 | 49.5 | 4.55 |
| 02/05/07 | 25 | 4.75 | 4.8 | (0.188) | 04/05/07 | 19 | 48.5 | 4.55 |
| 02/05/07 | 20 | 4.7 | 4.8 | (0.302) | 30/05/07 | 112 | 49 | 4.6 |
| 09/05/07 | 25 | 5.625 | 5.1 | 1.476 | 30/05/07 | 30 | 47 | 4.6 |
| 09/05/07 | 25 | 5.625 | 5.1 | 1.530 | 30/05/07 | 34 | 47.5 | 4.6 |
| 09/05/07 | 20 | 5.625 | 5.1 | 1.266 | 30/05/07 | 42 | 49.5 | 4.6 |
| 09/05/07 | 20 | 5.625 | 5.25 | 0.756 | 30/05/07 | 86 | 45 | 4.6 |
| 09/05/07 | 6.75 | 5.625 | 4.95 | 0.578 | 30/05/07 | 56 | 46 | 4.6 |
| 09/05/07 | 22 | 4.4 | 4.7 | (1.227) | 22/06/07 | 42.23 | 47.5 | 4.85 |
| 09/05/07 | 22 | 4.4 | 4.65 | (1.039) | 22/06/07 | 10 | 49.5 | 4.85 |
| 09/05/07 | 26 | 4.4 | 4.65 | (1.235) | 02/08/07 | 79 | 45 | 4.55 |
| 09/05/07 | 22 | 4.4 | 4.65 | (1.051) | 02/08/07 | 14 | 50 | 4.55 |
| 09/05/07 | 22 | 4.4 | 4.65 | (1.058) |  |  |  |  |
| 31/05/07 | 30 | 4.25 | 4.7 | (2.447) |  |  |  |  |
| 31/05/07 | 20 | 4.25 | 4.7 | (1.645) |  |  |  |  |
| 31/05/07 | 20 | 4.25 | 4.7 | (1.658) |  |  |  |  |
| 08/06/07 | 10 | 10.875 | 5.5 | 3.852 |  |  |  |  |
| 08/06/07 | 9.4 | 11.25 | 5.5 | 3.864 |  |  |  |  |
| 08/06/07 | 10.35 | 7.875 | 5.45 | 1.987 |  |  |  |  |
| 08/06/07 | 2.4 | 6.25 | 5.25 | 0.263 |  |  |  |  |
| 08/06/07 | 0.75 | 5.625 | 5.1 | 0.049 |  |  |  |  |
| 08/06/07 | 20 | 4.3 | 4.8 | (1.689) |  |  |  |  |
| 08/06/07 | 30 | 4.3 | 4.7 | (2.576) |  |  |  |  |
| 26/06/07 | 19.21 | 7.875 | 5.65 | 3.339 |  |  |  |  |
| 26/06/07 | 30 | 4.25 | 4.8 | (3.339) |  |  |  |  |
| 20/07/07 | 0.32 | 7.875 | 5.65 | 0.055 |  |  |  |  |
| 20/07/07 | 31 | 5.875 | 5.25 | 2.428 |  |  |  |  |
| 20/07/07 | 7.01 | 7.75 | 4.85 | 3.796 |  |  |  |  |
| 20/07/07 | 30 | 4.25 | 4.9 | (3.529) |  |  |  |  |
| 20/07/07 | 25 | 4.25 | 4.85 | (2.751) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub Total | 575.19 |  |  | (0.855) | Sub Total | 574.23 |  |  |
| LOBOs |  |  |  |  | LOBOs |  |  |  |
| Arranged in 06/07 |  |  |  |  | Arranged in 06/07 |  |  |  |
| 18/04/07 | 20 | 4.5 |  |  | 18/04/07 | 20 | 70 | 4.45 |
| 25/04/07 | 15 | 4.4 |  |  | 25/04/07 | 15 | 70 | 4.25 |
| Sub-total | 35 |  |  |  |  | 35 |  |  |
| Arranged in 07/08 |  |  |  |  | Arranged in 07/08 |  |  |  |
| 03/04/07 | 5 | 3.40 |  |  | 02/07/07 | 15 | 70 | 4.295 |
| 03/04/07 | 10 | 3.38 |  |  | 03/07/07 | 10 | 70 | 4.290 |
| 05/04/07 | 10 | 3.48 |  | (0.554) | 03/07/07 | 10 | 70 | 4.285 |
| 02/05/07 | 10 | 3.96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31/03/08 | 25 | 3.65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-total | 60 |  |  |  |  | 35 |  |  |
| Sub Total | 95 |  |  | (0.554) | Sub Total | 70 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 670.19 |  |  | (1.409) |  | 644.23 |  |  |

3.1.12 To meet the borrowing requirement for $2007 / 08$ new loans of $£ 100 \mathrm{~m}$ were taken in 2006/07 in advance of need and an additional £15m was taken in 2007/08 as shown in Table 3.
3.1.13 As reported in the February 2008 report $£ 70$ m of 2008/09 funding requirement was taken in advance and was increased to $£ 95 \mathrm{~m}$. The Council has again been able to take advantage of higher interest rates on deposits as a result of the credit crunch, and again invest the amounts until they are required. Details are shown in Table 3.

Table 3

| New Borrowing for 2007/08 Requirement |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Source | Amount (£m) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Term } \\ \text { (Years) } \end{array}$ | Interest Rate (\%) |
| Undertaken in 2006/07 |  |  |  |  |
| 19/05/06 | PWLB | 20 | 43 | 4.25 |
| 19/05/06 | PWLB | 20 | 44 | 4.25 |
| 19/05/06 | PWLB | 30 | 50 | 4.25 |
| 19/05/06 | PWLB | 30 | 42 | 4.25 |
| Undertaken in 2007/08 |  |  |  |  |
| 02/08/07 | PWLB | 15 | 45 | 4.55 |
|  |  | 115 |  |  |
| Pre Funding for 2008/09 Requirement |  |  |  |  |
| Date | Source | Amount (£m) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Term } \\ \text { (Years) } \end{array}$ | Interest Rate (\%) |
| 20/12/07 | LOBO | 20 | 70 | 4.08 |
| 21/12/07 | LOBO | 25 | 70 | 4.06 |
| 21/12/07 | LOBO | 25 | 70 | 4.07 |
| 07/03/08 | PWLB | 25 | 5 | 4.20 |
|  |  | 95 |  |  |

3.1.14 Total borrowings undertaken in 2007/08 amounted to $£ 754 \mathrm{~m}$ compared to $£ 857 \mathrm{~m}$ in 2006/07 which was a substantial increase on new borrowings of $£ 275 \mathrm{~m}$ undertaken in 2005/06. Combined with total repayments of $£ 670 \mathrm{~m}$ total turnover of long-term borrowing amounted to over £1.4bn in 2007/08.

### 3.2 Interest Rate Performance

3.2.1 The average rate of interest paid on the Council's external debt for 2007/08 was $4.51 \%$ compared with $4.78 \%, 5.35 \%$ and $5.67 \%$ in 06/07, 05/06 and 04/05 respectively. This is below the average for metropolitan districts for 2006/07 as shown in Appendix B. Appendix C analyses debt as at $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2007 by interest rate bands and the year of maturity or first option date for LOBO loans. The final maturity of LOBO loans is shown as a memo item in the table at the bottom of Appendix C.

## 4 Implications For Council Policy And Governance

4.1 The operation of the Treasury Management function is governed by provisions set out under part 1 of the Local Government Act 2003 whereby the Council is required to have regard to the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA) Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities.
4.2 The Code of Practice requires that policy statements are prepared for approval by the Council at least twice a year. This treasury management report for 2007/08 seeks approval in accordance with the code.

## 5 Legal And Resource Implications

5.1 The treasury management annual report for 2007/08 recognises the final borrowing undertaken to fund the capital programme of both General Fund and HRA. The revenue costs of this borrowing have been met within the revenue account and were reported in the revenue outturn report presented to Executive Board on $11^{\text {th }}$ June 2008.

## 6 Conclusions

6.1 The treasury management annual report 2007/08 details the transactions undertaken in 2007/08 to fund the capital programme requirements for both General Fund and HRA. Treasury activity during the year was conducted within the approved borrowing limits for the year and resulted in significant savings to the revenue budget.

## 7 Recommendations

That the Executive Board :
7.1 Note the treasury management outturn position for 2007/08.

## Associated documents:

a) Treasury Management Strategy 2007/08 - Executive Board 9 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ February 2007.
b) Treasury management Update 2007/08 - Executive Board $14^{\text {th }}$ November 2007.
c) Treasury Management Strategy 2008/09 - Executive Board 8 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ February 2008.

Leeds City Council - Prudential Indicators 2007/08

| No. | PRUDENTIAL INDICATOR | Feb 07 Report | Feb 08 Report | Outturn (This Report) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1). EXTRACT FROM BUDGET AND RENT SETTING REPORTS |  |  |  |
|  | Ratio of Financing Costs to Net Revenue Stream |  |  |  |
| 1 | General Fund - Excluding DSG (Note1) | 8.01\% | 8.14\% | 7.96\% |
| 2 | HRA | 14.94\% | 13.47\% | 13.76\% |
|  | Impact of Unsupported Borrowing on Council Tax \& Housing Rents | £.P | £.P | £.P |
| 3 | increase in council tax B7(band D, per annum) (Note 2) | 37.24 | 48.67 | 48.57 |
| 4 | increase in housing rent per week | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 5 | Net Borrowing and the capital financing requirement (Note 3) | OK | OK | OK |
|  | Estimate of total capital expenditure |  |  |  |
| 6 | Non HRA | 198,108 | 235,947 | 210,708 |
| 7 | HRA | 170,227 | 164,253 | 132,696 |
|  | TOTAL | 368,335 | 400,200 | 343,404 |
|  | Capital Financing Requirement (as at 31 March) | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 |
| 8 | Non HRA | 694,580 | 679,693 | 671,256 |
| 9 | HRA | 652,373 | 748,000 | 755,768 |
|  | TOTAL | 1,346,953 | 1,427,693 | 1,427,024 |


| No. | PRUDENTIAL INDICATOR |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (2). TREASURY MANAGEMENT PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 |
| 10 | Authorised limit for external debt - (Note 4) borrowing other long term liabilities TOTAL | $\begin{array}{r} 1,550,000 \\ 40,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,600,000 \\ 40,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,600,000 \\ 40,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  | 1,590,000 | 1,640,000 | 1,640,000 |
| 11 | Operational boundary - (Note 4) borrowing other long term liabilities | $\begin{array}{r} 1,460,000 \\ 30,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,460,000 \\ 30,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,460,000 \\ 30,000 \end{array}$ |
|  | TOTAL | 1,490,000 | 1,490,000 | 1,490,000 |
| 14 | Upper limit for fixed interest rate exposure |  |  |  |
| 15 | Upper limit for variable rate exposure <br> Net principal re variable rate borrowing / investments OR:- | Upper limit for variable rate exposure |  | 40\% |
| 17 | Upper limit for total principal sums invested for over 364 days (Note 5 (per maturity date) | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 |


| 16 | Maturity structure of fixed rate borrowind during 2006/07 | Lower <br> Limit | Cumulative <br> Upper Limit | Actual <br> 31/03/2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | under 12 months | $0 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
|  | 12 months and within 24 months | $0 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| 24 months and within 5 years | $0 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $17 \%$ |  |
| 5 years and within 10 years | $0 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $4 \%$ |  |
| 10 years and above | $25 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $71 \%$ |  |

Notes.

1 The indicator for the ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream for General Fund is now calculated based on the Net Revenue Charge less the Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG). The Government changed the funding of education to DSG from 2006/07.

2 The code requires that the Council identifies the capital financing costs arising from unsupported borrowing expressed as the amount per band D property.

3 In order to ensure that over the medium term net borrowing will only be for a capital purpose, the Council should ensure that net external borrowing does not exceed the total capital financing requirement in the preceding year plus estimates of any additional capital financing requirement for the current and next two financial years. This is a key indicator of prudence.

4 Limits are the same as the Feb 08 report.

5 Prudential indicator 12 relates to actual external debt at 31st March, which is reported in the main body of this report.

6 Prudential indicator 13 relates to the adoption of the CIPFA Code of Practice on Treasury Management. The Council formally adopted this Code of Practice in March 2003.

| Average Rate of External Debt 2006/07 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authority Name | Rank | Total Debt at 31.3.07 | Gross Average Rate of Interest on Total Debt 2006/07 |
|  |  | £m | \% |
| Knowsley | 1 | 119 | 4.32 |
| Walsall | 2 | 220 | 4.40 |
| Sunderland | 3 | 200 | 4.53 |
| Leeds | 4 | 1,198 | 4.78 |
| Stockport | 5 | 240 | 5.02 |
| Sefton | 6 | 106 | 5.04 |
| Solihull | 7 | 184 | 5.20 |
| Tameside | 8 | 168 | 5.20 |
| Bolton | 9 | 375 | 5.29 |
| Calderdale | 10 | 111 | 5.46 |
| Barnsley | 11 | 416 | 5.60 |
| Newcastle upon Tyne | 12 | 688 | 5.62 |
| Liverpool | 13 | 836 | 5.78 |
| St Helens | 14 | 116 | 5.81 |
| Trafford | 15 | 93 | 5.89 |
| Wolverhampton | 16 | 392 | 5.90 |
| Wakefield | 17 | 235 | 5.91 |
| Doncaster | 18 | 301 | 5.91 |
| Kirklees | 19 | 488 | 5.94 |
| Weighted Average Metroplitan | 20 |  | 6.00 |
| Salford | 21 | 519 | 6.05 |
| Dudley | 22 | 407 | 6.32 |
| Rotherham | 23 | 378 | 6.35 |
| South Tyneside | 24 | 208 | 6.42 |
| Oldham | 25 | 412 | 6.43 |
| Manchester | 26 | 952 | 6.44 |
| Sheffield | 27 | 855 | 6.85 |
| Birmingham | 28 | 1,561 | 7.30 |
| Wigan | 29 | 367 | 7.34 |
| Gateshead | 30 | 322 | 7.51 |
| Bury | 31 | 113 |  |
| Bradford | no return |  |  |
| Coventry | no return |  |  |
| North Tyneside | no return |  |  |
| Rochdale | no return |  |  |
| Sandwell | no return |  |  |
| Wirral | no return |  |  |

Source: CIPFA Capital Expenditure and Treasury Management Statistics 2006-07

Debt as at 31st March 2008
Appendix C
Table below shows a breakdown of the maturity structure of the authority giving totals within interest bands

| Year Ending | to $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ to | $5 \%$ to | $6 \%$ to | $7 \%$ to | Greater | Principal |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 st March |  | $4.99 \%$ | $5.99 \%$ | $6.99 \%$ | $7.99 \%$ | Than $8 \%$ |  |

Fixed Rate Loans - LOBO to First Option

| 2009 | 45,000 | 95,000 | 20 | - | - | 3 | 140,023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | 45,000 | 60,000 | - | - | - | - | 105,000 |
| 2011 | 30,000 | 80,000 | - | - | - | - | 110,000 |
| 2012 | 15,000 | 45,000 | - | - | - | - | 60,000 |
| 2013 | 20,000 | - | - |  | - | - | 20,000 |
| 2014 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2015 | - | 15,000 | - | - | - | - | 15,000 |
| 2016 | - | 15,000 | - | - | - | - | 15,000 |
| 2017 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2018 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2020 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2022 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2024 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2025 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2026 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2027 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2028 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2034 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2035 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2043 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2049 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2050 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2051 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2052 | - | 100,000 | - | - | - | - | 100,000 |
| 2053 | - | 207,000 | - | - | - | - | 207,000 |
| 2054 | - | 101,000 | - | - | - | - | 101,000 |
| 2055 | - | 106,000 | - | - | - | - | 106,000 |
| 2056 | - | 101,230 | - | - | - | - | 101,230 |
| 2057 | - | 161,000 | - | - | 5,740 | - | 166,740 |
| 2058 | - | 116,000 |  | - | 20,000 | - | 136,000 |
| Sub Total | 155,000 | 1,202,230 | 20 | - | 25,740 | 3 | 1,382,993 |

Temporary Loans

| 2009 | 14,485 | - | - | - | - | - | 14,485 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sub Total | $\mathbf{1 4 , 4 8 5}$ | - | - | - | - | - | $\mathbf{1 4 , 4 8 5}$ |
| CABP | $\mathbf{1 6 9 , 4 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 2 , 2 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | - | $\mathbf{2 5 , 7 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 9 7 , 4 7 8}$ |


| Memo : LOBO Variable Rate Loans to Maturity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2044 | - | 5,000 | - | - | - | - | 5,000 |
| 2055 | - | 20,000 | - | - | - | - | 20,000 |
| 2056 | 20,000 | 50,000 | - | - | - | - | 70,000 |
| 2066 | 20,000 | 40,000 | - | - | - | - | 60,000 |
| 2067 | 65,000 | 15,000 | - | - | - | - | 80,000 |
| 2077 | 50,000 | 15,000 | - | - | - | - | 65,000 |
| 2078 | - | 140,000 | - | - | - | - | 140,000 |
| Sub Total | $\mathbf{1 5 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 5 , 0 0 0}$ | - | - | - | $\mathbf{-}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 0 , 0 0 0}$ |

LOBO's Shown at Maturity in Bottom Memo Section.
LOBO's included in main section at next option date. (Highlighted)

